



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/766,046	01/19/2001	Jamal Ramdani	JG00069	9615

22850 7590 09/08/2003

OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.
1940 DUKE STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

EXAMINER

BAUMEISTER, BRADLEY W

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2815

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary

Application No.

09/766,046

Applicant(s)

Ramdani et al.

Examiner

B. William Baumeister

Art Unit

2815

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) B. William Baumeister (PTO)(3) William Koch (Applicant's Representative)(2) Ravi Droopad (Applicant)(4) Rick Treanor (Applicant's Representative)Date of Interview Sep 3, 2003Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conferencec) ☒ Personal (copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If yes, brief description:Claim(s) discussed: all

Identification of prior art discussed:

Eisenbeiser and KaushikAgreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Discussed a proposed amendment for the independent claims of the Motorola bulk-filing applications, and discussed how the limitations distinguish over the Eisenbeiser and Kaushik references. The proposed claim would require further consideration and search.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

B. WILLIAM BAUMEISTER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner's signature, if required